

JAMAICA

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL

**BEFORE: THE HON MISS JUSTICE P WILLIAMS JA
THE HON MRS JUSTICE DUNBAR GREEN JA
THE HON MRS JUSTICE G FRASER JA (AG)**

SUPREME COURT CRIMINAL APPEAL NO COA2023CV00033

BETWEEN FENTON DENNY APPELLANT

AND FIREARM LICENSING AUTHORITY RESPONDENT

**Hugh Wildman and Shemar Bryan instructed by Hugh Wildman and Company
for the appellant**

Neco G Pagon for the respondent

4 February 2025

Endorsement read by P Williams JA

[1] Having considered the grounds of appeal as filed, and the submissions, both written and oral, which were made by counsel in this matter, we are satisfied as follows:

- I. There is no merit in the ground that the learned judge erred in failing to appreciate that at the time of the revocation of the appellant's license there was no evidence before the respondent to justify the revocation, without making the revocation arbitrary, unlawful, null, and void.
- II. There is no merit in the ground that the learned judge erred in law by failing to appreciate the nature of the issue which was before her.
- III. There is no merit in the complaint that the learned judge spent a considerable amount of time exploring the issue of alternate remedy.

[2] In relation to costs, we see no reason to depart from the general rule that costs follow the event, and this will, therefore, obtain.

[3] Accordingly, the orders are:

1. The appeal is dismissed.
2. Costs to the respondent to be agreed or taxed.