

6/6  
NO report

J A M A I C A

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL

M. 7/65

BEFORE: The Hon. Mr. Justice Duffus (President)  
The Hon. Mr. Justice Henriques  
The Hon. Mr. Justice Moody (Ag.)

BRANDON WILLIAMS Defendant/Appellant

v.

VIRGINIA WALLACE Plaintiff/Respondent.

Mr. Hill appeared on behalf of the Appellant.  
The respondent was unrepresented.

4th November, 1965

DUFFUS, P:

This is a bastardy case; the only point that arises is whether there was sufficient corroboration of the complainant's evidence in the terms of the relevant section, Section 5(1) of Cap. 35, The Bastardy Law. The learned Resident Magistrate who tried the case obviously accepted the evidence of one Claudius Henry, a man who was the father of a child for the complainant. It has been urged to us that the evidence of Claudius Henry was not sufficient. We have listened with interest to the argument of learned counsel and whereas the evidence given by Claudius Henry was not entirely satisfactory, nevertheless there was, in our view sufficient in his evidence to corroborate the evidence given by the complainant showing that the association between the appellant and the complainant was continued up to July, 1964, and in view of that we see no reason why we should interfere with the order of the Court. The appeal is dismissed and the order confirmed.

..

..

H/p.